

Article type: Consultative Dermatology

Title: Society of Dermatology Hospitalists supportive care guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults.

Authors: Lucia Seminario-Vidal, MD, PhD^{1,2,*}, Daniela Kroshinsky, MD, MPH^{3,*}, Stephen J. Malachowski, MD, MS¹, James Sun, MD², Alina Markova, MD⁴, Thomas M. Beachkofsky, MD^{5,*}, Benjamin H. Kaffenberger, MD^{6,*}, Elizabeth N. Ergen, MD^{7,*}, Melissa Mauskar, MD^{8,9,*}, Alina Bridges, MD^{10,*}, Cody Calhoun, BS⁶, Adela R. Cardones, MD^{11,*}, Steven T. Chen, MD, MPH, MS-HPed^{3,*}, James Chodosh, MD, MPH¹², Jonathan Cotliar, MD^{13,*}, Mark D.P. Davis, MD^{10,*}, Katherine L. DeNiro, MD^{14,*}, Arturo R. Dominguez, MD^{8,*}, Juliana Eljure-Téllez, MD¹⁵, Alisa Femia, MD^{16,*}, Lindy P. Fox, MD^{17,*}, Anisha Guda, BS¹⁸, Caroline Mitchell, MD, MPH¹⁹, Arash Mostaghimi, MD, MPA, MPH^{20,*}, Alex G. Ortega-Loayza, MD^{21,*}, Cindy Owen, MD^{22,*}, Helena Pasieka, MD^{23,*}, Sahand Rahnema-Moghadam MD, MS^{24,*}, Hajirah N. Saeed, MD¹², Rebecca B. Saunderson, MD^{25,*}, Swapna Shanbhag, MD²⁶, Victoria R. Sharon, MD, DTMH^{27,*}, Lindsay Strowd, MD^{28,*}, Samantha Venkatesh, MD²⁹, Karolyn A. Wanat, MD^{30,*}, David A. Wetter, MD^{10,*}, Scott Worswick, MD^{31,*}, Robert G. Micheletti, MD^{32,*}

* Society of Dermatology Hospitalists SJS/TEN expert panel

¹ Department of Dermatology, University of South Florida, Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL

² Cutaneous Oncology Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

³ Department of Dermatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

⁴ Department of Medicine, Dermatology Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY

⁵ Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD

⁶ Department of Dermatology, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH

⁷ Department of Dermatology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

⁸ Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

⁹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

¹⁰ Department of Dermatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

¹¹ Department of Dermatology, Duke University, Durham, NC

¹² Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Department of Ophthalmology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

¹³ Division of Dermatology, Harbor-UCLA medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

¹⁴ Division of Dermatology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

¹⁵ Department of Dermatology, 'Dr. Manuel Gea González' General Hospital, Mexico City, Mexico

¹⁶ Ronald O. Perleman Department of Dermatology, New York University Langone Health, New York, NY

¹⁷ Department of Dermatology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

¹⁸ UT Health San Antonio Long School of Medicine, San Antonio, TX

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Seminario-Vidal, L., Kroshinsky, D., Malachowski, S. J., Sun, J., Markova, A., Beachkofsky, T. M., ... & Calhoun, C. Society of Dermatology Hospitalists supportive care guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.02.066>

- ¹⁹ Vincent Center for Reproductive Biology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology & Reproductive Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
- ²⁰ Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
- ²¹ Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR
- ²² Department of Medicine, Division of Dermatology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY
- ²³ Department of Dermatology, MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC
- ²⁴ Department of Dermatology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN
- ²⁵ Royal North Shore Hospital, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia
- ²⁶ Tej Kohli Cornea Institute, L.V. Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad, India
- ²⁷ Department of Dermatology, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, New Hyde Park, NY
- ²⁸ Department of Dermatology, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Wake Forest, NC
- ²⁹ Department of Dermatology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
- ³⁰ Department of Dermatology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Wisconsin, WI
- ³¹ Department of Dermatology, University of South California, Los Angeles, CA
- ³² Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

Corresponding Author

Lucia Seminario-Vidal, MD, PhD.
13330 USF Laurel Drive, 6th Floor, Tampa, FL 33612
Fax: 813-332-0942, Email: luciasem@usf.edu

Funding Sources: none

Conflicts of interest: none

Manuscript word count: 2496/2500

Abstract word count: 165/200

Capsule summary: 50

References: 155

Figures: 0

Tables: 2

Appendixes: 5 (DOI: 10.17632/9g6nv2cjgp.1)

Key Words:

Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, severe cutaneous adverse reaction, dermatology consultation, inpatient, society of dermatology hospitalists

ABSTRACT

Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) are life-threatening conditions with high morbidity and mortality. Supportive care management of SJS/TEN is highly variable. A systematic review of the literature was performed by dermatologists, ophthalmologists, intensivists and gynecologists with expertise in SJS/TEN to generate statements for supportive care guideline development. Members of the Society of Dermatology Hospitalists (SDH) with expertise in SJS/TEN were invited to participate in a modified, online Delphi-consensus. 9-point Likert scale questionnaires regarding 135 statements were administered. The RAND/UCLA appropriateness method was employed to evaluate and select proposed statements for guideline inclusion; statements with median ratings of 6.5-9 and disagreement index ≤ 1 were included in the guideline. For the final round, the guidelines were appraised by all the participants. An evidence-based discussion and recommendations for hospital setting and care team, wound care, ocular care, oral care, urogenital care, pain management, infection surveillance, fluid and electrolyte management, nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis, airway management, and anticoagulation in adult patients with SJS/TEN are included.

CAPSULE SUMMARY

- Supportive care management of SJS/TEN in practice is highly varied.
- The Society of Dermatology Hospitalists presents evidence-based practice guidelines for hospital setting and care team, wound care, ocular care, oral care, urogenital care, pain management, infection surveillance, fluid and electrolyte management, nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis, airway management, and anticoagulation for adult patients with SJS/TEN.

BACKGROUND

Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrosis (SJS/TEN) spectrum disease (i.e., SJS, SJS-TEN overlap, and TEN) is a rare, severe cutaneous reaction affecting 1.6 to 9.2 patients per million annually in the United States.¹⁻⁶ With mortality rates between 15% and 49%,⁷⁻⁹ early intervention with intensive supportive care is critical, yet the care implemented in practice is highly variable.¹⁰ Standardized SJS/TEN management guidelines are a pressing unmet clinical and research priority.

METHODS

Eleven topics were developed within the scope of the guidelines (**Table 1**). For each topic, PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, and clinicaltrials.gov were searched for meta-analyses, clinical trials, open studies, case series, and case reports through November 2018. Articles not written in English were excluded. The search terms and strategies are detailed in **eAppendix1**. The authors identified additional references from manuscript citations, performed detailed evaluation, summarized the literature, and provided level of evidence and strength of recommendations, as indicated in **eAppendix2**. Prior guidelines on SJS/TEN were also evaluated.¹¹⁻¹⁶

Experts in SJS/TEN from the Society of Dermatology Hospitalists (SDH) were invited to participate in the modified Delphi process (**eAppendix3**) and to evaluate the level of appropriateness of 135 statements regarding supportive care of patients with SJS/TEN. Using the RAND/UCLA appropriateness method,¹⁷ each statement was evaluated by the 1-to-9 appropriateness rating scale and by the level of disagreement, as measured by a disagreement index (DI). A median appropriateness value of $1 \leq \text{median} < 3.5$ was considered “inappropriate;”

3.5 ≤ median < 6.5 “uncertain;” and 6.5 ≤ median ≤ 9.0 “appropriate.” Descriptive statistics were calculated for each item during each round and presented with a histogram (eAppendix4). R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019, Vienna, Austria) was used to perform all analyses.

RESULTS

The SDH supportive care practice guidelines for the management of SJS/TEN in adults are presented in **Table 2**.

DISCUSSION

Hospital setting and care team

Specialized care with a multidisciplinary approach is essential to the evaluation and treatment of patients with SJS/TEN.^{11,13,14,16} Dermatologists should directly participate in patient management, with input from other specialists with expertise in management of the complications of complex epidermal loss, such as fluid management, wound care, and mechanical ventilation.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Several small uncontrolled studies have shown decreased mortality with early transfers to burn units or intensive care units (ICU).²¹⁻²⁸ The SDH expert panel recommends care take place in a medical or burn ICU setting, with staff trained in the care of patients with SJS/TEN. A private room with temperature and humidity control and at least 1:1 nursing care is recommended.

Wound care

Wound care for SJS/TEN generally follows current practices in burn management, as strong evidence specific to SJS/TEN is lacking.²⁹ Percentage body surface area (BSA) of detachable

epidermis is integral to patient prognosis and disease progression.³⁰ Unlike burn guidelines, which recommend surgical or high-velocity saline debridement of detached epidermis,^{12,31,32} the dermatologic SDH expert panel favors a conservative approach to preserve detached epidermis as a biologic dressing, reflecting the different underlying mechanisms involved with SJS/TEN and burn injury.³³ Anti-shear strategies, such as limiting dressing changes, using an air-fluidized bed, and selecting non-adherent dressings, are recommended.^{11,16,34} Lysis and careful drainage of large or painful bullae may be performed for comfort only. Gentle cleansing, consisting of sterile water or dilute chlorhexidine with dressing changes, is advised.³⁵ Application of an emollient such as petrolatum jelly to the skin enhances barrier function, reduces transcutaneous water loss and encourages re-epithelialization.^{11,36} Alternatively, modern non-adherent, silver-impregnated primary dressings are recommended for their antibacterial properties, reduced requirement for dressing changes, and improved patient comfort.^{29,37-41} Secondary absorptive dressings should be used to control exudate.

Ocular care

Ocular involvement may precede or follow cutaneous disease and occurs in 50-90% of patients.^{27,42-48} Acute ocular findings range from conjunctival hyperemia to loss of the entire ocular surface and eyelid margin epithelium.^{45,49,50} The severity of ocular involvement disease has not been reliably correlated with the severity of skin disease or SCORTEN.^{48,49,51,52} The SDH expert panel recommends ophthalmic evaluation of all patients with suspected SJS/TEN, even if there is no apparent ocular involvement. Examination should occur during the initial assessment, daily until findings have stabilized, and then the frequency is determined on an individual basis. The entire ocular surface and eyelid margins should be examined with eyelid

eversion, eye rotation and fluorescein staining. Resting eyelid position should be assessed so lagophthalmos can be promptly addressed. Saline may be used to remove loose debris and appropriate tools used to lyse adhesions during daily exams. Grading of ocular findings may aid in medical and surgical decision making (e.g. eAppendix5).⁴⁶

Amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) has shown to mitigate long-term ocular complications in multiple studies.^{51,53-59} AMT should be offered to patients with significant conjunctival, corneal or eyelid margin epithelial defects. If AMT is indicated and not available, a hospital transfer should be considered. Amniotic membrane should cover the entire affected surface including eyelid margins and may need to be replaced over time.

Limited data address the use of topical therapies, including lubricants, anti-inflammatory agents and anti-microbial agents.^{15,49,60} For patients without acute ocular involvement, preservative-free artificial tears (AT) should be considered (e.g. AT 4 x/day). Any degree of ocular involvement should prompt high-frequency AT (e.g. AT every 1-2 hours). Topical corticosteroids are used to ameliorate ocular inflammation and may improve visual outcomes.^{15,46,59,62} For any degree of ocular inflammation, a topical corticosteroid drop should be applied to the ocular surface (e.g. prednisolone acetate 1%, 2-6 x/day), and a corticosteroid ointment should be applied to the eyelids (e.g. fluorometholone 0.1%, 2-6 x/d). There is limited evidence to guide the use of prophylactic topical antibiotics; however, for patients with ocular epithelial defects, a broad-spectrum topical antibiotic (e.g. Moxifloxacin 0.5%, 3 x/day) should be used. If an ocular infection is suspected, appropriate cultures should be obtained.

Oral care

Oral involvement occurs in 93 to 100 % of patients with SJS/TEN, resulting in pain, impaired oral intake, and poor oral hygiene.^{43,63} Long-term complications include sicca syndrome in up to 40% of patients and scarring.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶

All patients with SJS/TEN should have an oral cavity exam on initial presentation and daily thereafter. The use of topical therapies for treating oral involvement in acute SJS/TEN has been adapted from studies in patients with autoimmune blistering diseases involving the oral mucosa, chemotherapy-induced mucositis, and oral graft-versus-host disease (GvHD).⁶⁷⁻⁷¹ To provide short-term pain relief and facilitate oral intake, a mouthwash containing a topical anesthetic agent such as lidocaine is recommended.⁶³ Topical coating agents have been recommended to reduce pain and facilitate healing by covering mucosal ulcerations, such as hydroxypropyl methylcellulose film-forming agents (e.g., Zilactin®), Gelclair®, and Amphojel®.⁶⁸

Oral rinses increase clearance of debris, promote oral hygiene, and improve patient comfort.⁶⁸ Antiseptic oral agents are preferred by the SDH expert panel, with a recommendation to consider diluted chlorhexidine.⁷² Ultrapotent topical corticosteroids (e.g., clobetasol gel or ointment (0.05%) with or without adhesive bases such as carboximethyl or hydroxyethyl-cellulose, three to four times a day) have been shown to be beneficial in the management of patients with erosive diseases of the oral mucosa^{73, 69, 74-76} and are recommended by the expert panel. Dexamethasone mouth rinse (0.1 mg/mL) or clobetasol propionate 0.05% in aqueous solution, are alternative options. Evidence to support the use of other topical anti-inflammatory agents is lacking.⁷⁷

Urogenital care

Urogenital involvement occurs in approximately 70% of women⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰ and men⁸⁰ with SJS/TEN, resulting in erosions of the scrotum/labia, penis/vulva, dysuria, hematuria, urinary retention, and long-term sequelae such as urethral stenosis and scarring, xerosis, phimosis, dyspareunia, chronic pain, bleeding, sexual dysfunction, infertility, and anxiety.⁷⁸⁻⁸⁹

The urogenital tract of all patients with SJS/TEN should be examined upon initial assessment and daily during hospitalization, ideally by a gynecologist, urologist, or urogynecology specialist. The efficacy of treatment strategies has not been adequately studied. Emollients, such as petrolatum, are commonly used to protect inflamed mucosa, reduce adhesion formation, and facilitate healing.^{16,80,85} Ultrapotent topical corticosteroids applied to genital lesions during the acute phase may be helpful.⁸⁵ If there is clinical suspicion for candidiasis in the setting of vaginal steroid use, consider obtaining a KOH and fungal culture and initiating treatment with antifungal medications.

Insertion of an intravaginal device as early as possible may prevent adhesions and stenosis in those with visible disease.⁷⁹ Intravaginal devices should be used regularly until complete healing of lesions and may remain in place for up to 24 hours before being replaced. In patients uncomfortable with using an intravaginal device, medications can be applied twice daily with a vaginal applicator. The role of intravaginal devices in patients without visible disease is uncertain (median 5, DI 0.49).

Menstrual suppression may reduce the risk of vaginal adenosis and endometriosis and can be considered in women with severe genital mucosal involvement.^{83,85} Topical estrogen has been shown to promote healing in other vulvar dermatoses and burns and should be considered as adjuvant therapy.⁹⁰⁻⁹⁴

Urinary catheters are recommended to decrease pain with urination, prevent urinary obstruction, and monitor fluid balance.^{11,80} They should be removed as soon as complete healing occurs and the patient passes a voiding trial. The SDH expert panel recommends topical lidocaine to minimize pain with urinary catheter and vaginal device insertion.

Pain management

Mucocutaneous pain is a key feature of SJS/TEN, occurring in ~ 90% of patients and associated with physical and psychological burden and prolonged hospital stay. It is exacerbated by physical activity, procedures, and dressing changes.⁹⁵

Pain management should be individualized according to pain level and patient comorbidities.

Pain level should be evaluated every 4 hours using visual or numeric analog scales.⁹⁶

Wound care strategies that minimize dressing changes are associated with reduced pain.^{37,39,97}

Acetaminophen may be sufficient for treatment of mild pain. However, opioid therapy is frequently indicated. Oral synthetic opiates are helpful to control moderate pain. Morphine or fentanyl given enterally, by intravenous bolus, patient-controlled analgesia, or via infusion, may be necessary for more severe pain.⁹⁸ Low-dose ketamine infusions may be considered as an

alternative or adjuvant therapy for pain in SJS/TEN.^{96,99,100} Gabapentin and pregabalin help address neuropathic pain and may decrease opioid consumption in both the acute and healing phases.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰⁴ Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should generally be avoided due to their potential for renal and gastric injury.

Infection surveillance

Infections have been reported in up to 85% of patients with SJS/TEN,¹⁰⁵ and sepsis is the most common cause of death.¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁹ Exposed dermis facilitates bacterial colonization, leading to increased infection risk and impaired re-epithelialization.¹¹

The skin should be monitored frequently for signs of infection, such as increasing skin pain.¹¹ Confusion, hypotension, reduced urinary output, and reduced oxygen saturation may indicate systemic infection.^{106,110} In patients in whom infection is suspected, bacterial swabs should be obtained. Slow-healing sites with erosions or vesicles may indicate HSV super-infection, particularly in genital and oral sites; viral swabs should be obtained in such cases.¹¹ The SDH expert panel did not favor routine performance of skin cultures to guide antimicrobial therapy. Severe ear-nose-throat (ENT) involvement has been associated with pulmonary infection.¹¹¹

Evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be considered when dysphonia or dyspnea are present. For intubated patients, there was disagreement and uncertainty (median 5, DI > 1.02) regarding the need of routine fiberoptic bronchoscopy to obtain bronchoalveolar lavage specimens for culture and sensitivity testing, in the absence of signs of infection.¹¹²

Hand hygiene and hospital infection control measures should be followed to prevent infection. Prophylactic antibiotic coverage in the absence of proven or suspected infection may select for resistant organisms and contribute to increased mortality.¹¹³ Antibiotic-therapy should be tailored to culture data^{12,113,114} and local antibiogram.¹¹⁵ Data suggest *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacteriaceae* organisms are the most common causes of blood stream infection in SJS/TEN.¹⁰⁶

Patients with SJS/TEN may develop neutropenia, especially in severe cases.^{116,117} The role of recombinant human G-CSF in this setting is uncertain (median 5, DI 0.32).^{118,119}

Fluid management and electrolytes

Electrolytes abnormalities occur in approximately 20% of patients with SJS/TEN.⁹⁵ Due to extensive skin failure, patients may have large insensible losses.¹¹ Oropharyngeal lesions contribute to decreased oral intake. Electrolytes can be lost in blister fluid, which is rich in sodium, potassium, and chloride.¹³ Hypophosphatemia is also common.¹³ Fluid balance and electrolytes should be monitored daily to ensure adequate correction during treatment.¹²⁰ Fluid resuscitation in SJS/TEN is adapted from the management of burn patients, though fluid losses, in general, are less.¹²¹ Current evidence supports the use of crystalloid for resuscitation, though there are no prospective data to guide fluid selection.¹²¹⁻¹²³ Evidence regarding colloid fluids and albumin is controversial,^{121,124-127} and their use was considered uncertain by the expert panel (median 6, DI 0.65). Appropriate calculation of fluid resuscitation volume based on the percent of detached skin was also uncertain (median 5, DI 0.55).^{14,123,126} The expert panel recommended resuscitation be guided by physiologic parameters, with a target urine output of 0.5 – 1 mL/kg/hr.^{25,128,129}

Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis

Caloric requirements in SJS/TEN are increased.¹¹ Caloric intake should be 30-35 kcal/kg.¹³ In patients unable to eat, a nasogastric tube should be used to provide enteral nutrition unless there is involvement of the nasopharyngeal mucosa.¹³⁰⁻¹³² Enteral nutrition is preferable to prevent stress ulcer formation and infectious complications.¹³³ If adequate nutritional requirements cannot be met enterally, parenteral nutrition can be used to supplement deficiencies,^{99,134} however it has been associated with higher mortality rates.¹³⁵

Hyperglycemia is common in SJS/TEN and is associated with increased mortality, therefore, careful glucose monitoring to ensure adequate glycemic control is recommended.¹³¹ Tight glycemic control regimens (serum glucose 80–110 mg/dl) have been associated with increased hypoglycemic events and mortality among adults in the ICU; thus, glycemic control regimens maintaining glucose levels between 110–180 mg/dl are preferable.^{136–139}

In patients receiving enteral nutrition, pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis (SUP) is not recommended based on studies performed in ICU patients.^{140–142}

Airway management

Patients with SJS/TEN may experience sloughing of the respiratory tract epithelium which cannot be predicted by the extent of cutaneous involvement.¹¹ Chest x-ray and arterial blood gas measurement should be obtained as part of the baseline evaluation.^{16,143–145} Appropriate pulmonary toilet and positioning may help keep the upper airway clear.¹³ Attention should be paid to the nose to maintain a clear respiratory passage.

Patients with hypoxemia, dyspnea, or tachypnea should undergo fiberoptic bronchoscopy to evaluate the extent of bronchial involvement while minimizing iatrogenic trauma.^{145,146}

Pulmonary function testing and computed tomography scanning are indicated in those with ongoing respiratory symptoms.^{14,147}

Patients with SJS/TEN may experience airway compromise requiring intubation and early tracheostomy (before ventilator day 10) prior to the onset of respiratory failure, predicted by oral mucosal involvement and initial BSA of 70% or more, progression of BSA from hospital day 1 to hospital day 3 by 15% or more, neurologic diagnosis preventing airway protection, or documented airway involvement on direct laryngoscopy.¹⁴⁸ Improved survival is attributed to

aggressive wound care after airway protection. Ventilation strategies should mimic those used in acute respiratory distress syndrome, such as low tidal volume¹⁴⁹ and early prone positioning.^{144,150}

Anticoagulation

Patients with SJS/TEN are at increased risk of venous thromboembolism. Prophylaxis with low weight molecular heparin is recommended.¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵⁴ Patients who are bleeding or at high risk of major bleeding should receive graduated compression stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression instead.^{152,154} Early mobilization of patients should be encouraged.¹⁵⁵

LIMITATIONS AND CONCLUSION

These guidelines address supportive care treatment options for adult patients with SJS/TEN. Systemic treatment options, management of sequelae, and considerations in special populations (e.g., pediatric, pregnant) will be addressed in future guidelines. Judgment regarding the appropriateness of any specific therapy lies with the treating clinician. Future studies will necessitate revisions and updates to these recommendations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are in debt of Randy Polo, J.D., M.A. and John J. Orriola, MLS, M.Ed, research and education librarians at Shimberg Health Sciences Library, University of South Florida, for their invaluable support in the design of the search strategies and creation of EndNote libraries.

REFERENCES

1. Abe J, Mataka K, Umetsu R, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: the Food and Drug Administration adverse event reporting system, 2004-2013. *Allergology international : official journal of the Japanese Society of Allergology*. 2015;64(3):277-279.
2. Hsu DY, Brieva J, Silverberg NB, Silverberg JI. Morbidity and Mortality of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis in United States Adults. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2016;136(7):1387-1397.
3. Rzany B, Mockenhaupt M, Baur S, et al. Epidemiology of erythema exsudativum multiforme majus, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis in Germany (1990-1992): structure and results of a population-based registry. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 1996;49(7):769-773.
4. Schopf E, Stuhmer A, Rzany B, Victor N, Zentgraf R, Kapp JF. Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. An epidemiologic study from West Germany. *Arch Dermatol*. 1991;127(6):839-842.
5. Diphoorn J, Cazzaniga S, Gamba C, et al. Incidence, causative factors and mortality rates of Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) in northern Italy: data from the REACT registry. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 2016;25(2):196-203.
6. Chan HL, Stern RS, Arndt KA, et al. The incidence of erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis. A population-based study with particular reference to reactions caused by drugs among outpatients. *Arch Dermatol*. 1990;126(1):43-47.
7. Roujeau JC, Stern RS. Severe adverse cutaneous reactions to drugs. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1994;331(19):1272-1285.
8. Sekula P, Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, et al. Comprehensive survival analysis of a cohort of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. 2013;133(5):1197-1204.
9. Micheletti RG, Chiesa-Fuxench Z, Noe MH, et al. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A Multicenter Retrospective Study of 377 Adult Patients from the United States. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2018;138(11):2315-2321.
10. Le HG, Saeed H, Mantagos IS, Mitchell CM, Goverman J, Chodosh J. Burn unit care of Stevens Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: A survey. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2016;42(4):830-835.
11. Creamer D, Walsh SA, Dziewulski P, et al. U.K. guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults 2016. *The British journal of dermatology*. 2016;174(6):1194-1227.
12. Endorf FW, Cancio LC, Gibran NS. Toxic epidermal necrolysis clinical guidelines. *J Burn Care Res*. 2008;29(5):706-712.
13. Gupta LK, Martin AM, Agarwal N, et al. Guidelines for the management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: An Indian perspective. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2016;82(6):603-625.
14. Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Duong TA, Bensaid B, et al. Epidermal necrolysis French national diagnosis and care protocol (PNDS; protocole national de diagnostic et de soins). *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2018;13(1):56.

15. Kohanim S, Palioura S, Saeed HN, et al. Acute and Chronic Ophthalmic Involvement in Stevens-Johnson Syndrome/Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis - A Comprehensive Review and Guide to Therapy. II. Ophthalmic Disease. *The ocular surface*. 2016;14(2):168-188.
16. White KD, Abe R, Ardern-Jones M, et al. SJS/TEN 2017: Building Multidisciplinary Networks to Drive Science and Translation. *The journal of allergy and clinical immunology In practice*. 2018;6(1):38-69.
17. Fitch KB, Bernstein SJ, Aguilar MD, et al. *The RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method User's Manual*. Santa Monica, CA.: RAND Corporation; 2001.
18. East-Innis AD, Thompson DS. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis at the University Hospital of the West Indies, Jamaica. *The West Indian medical journal*. 2013;62(7):589-592.
19. Cunha LA, M.; Paula, F.; Mocanu, I. . Stevens-Johnson Syndrome in a ward of internal medicine. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2013;24:e268.
20. Criton S, Devi K, Sridevi PK, Asokan PU. Toxic epidermal necrolysis--a retrospective study. *International journal of dermatology*. 1997;36(12):923-925.
21. Auquier-Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, Naldi L, Correia O, Schroder W, Roujeau JC. Correlations between clinical patterns and causes of erythema multiforme majus, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: results of an international prospective study. *Arch Dermatol*. 2002;138(8):1019-1024.
22. Ellis MW, Oster CN, Turiansky GW, Blanchard JR. A case report and a proposed algorithm for the transfer of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis to a burn center. *Military medicine*. 2002;167(8):701-704.
23. Heimbach DM, Engrav LH, Marvin JA, Harnar TJ, Grube BJ. Toxic epidermal necrolysis. A step forward in treatment. *Jama*. 1987;257(16):2171-2175.
24. Mahar PD, Wasiak J, Hii B, et al. A systematic review of the management and outcome of toxic epidermal necrolysis treated in burns centres. *Burns*. 2014;40(7):1245-1254.
25. McCullough M, Burg M, Lin E, Peng D, Garner W. Steven Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis in a burn unit: A 15-year experience. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2017;43(1):200-205.
26. McGee T, Munster A. Toxic epidermal necrolysis syndrome: mortality rate reduced with early referral to regional burn center. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 1998;102(4):1018-1022.
27. Oplatek A, Brown K, Sen S, Halerz M, Supple K, Gamelli RL. Long-term follow-up of patients treated for toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2006;27(1):26-33.
28. Kaffenberger BH, Rosenbach M. Toxic epidermal necrolysis and early transfer to a regional burn unit: is it time to reevaluate what we teach? *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2014;71(1):195-196.
29. Castillo B, Vera N, Ortega-Loayza AG, Seminario-Vidal L. Wound care for Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2018;79(4):764-767 e761.
30. Abela C, Hartmann CE, De Leo A, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN): the Chelsea and Westminster Hospital wound management algorithm. *Journal of plastic, reconstructive & aesthetic surgery : JPRAS*. 2014;67(8):1026-1032.

31. Dillon CK, Lloyd MS, Dzeiwulski P. Accurate debridement of toxic epidermal necrolysis using Versajet. *Burns*. 2010;36(4):581-584.
32. Nizamoglu M, Ward JA, Frew Q, et al. Improving mortality outcomes of Stevens Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: A regional burns centre experience. *Burns*. 2018;44(3):603-611.
33. Lee HY. Wound management strategies in Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis: An unmet need. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2018;79(4):e87-e88.
34. Dorafshar AH, Dickie SR, Cohn AB, et al. Antishear therapy for toxic epidermal necrolysis: an alternative treatment approach. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2008;122(1):154-160.
35. Valeyrie-Allanore L, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Chosidow O, Wolkenstein P. French referral center management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Dermatologica Sinica*. 2013;31(4):191-195.
36. Paquet P, Pierard GE. Topical treatment options for drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). *Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy*. 2010;11(15):2447-2458.
37. Huang SH, Lin CH, Chang KP, et al. Clinical evaluation comparing the efficacy of aquacel Ag with vaseline gauze versus 1% silver sulfadiazine cream in toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Advances in skin & wound care*. 2014;27(5):210-215.
38. Huang SH, Wu SH, Sun IF, et al. AQUACEL Ag in the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). *Burns*. 2008;34(1):63-66.
39. Huang SH, Yang PS, Wu SH, et al. Aquacel Ag with Vaseline gauze in the management of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). *Burns*. 2010;36(1):121-126.
40. Smith SD, Dodds A, Dixit S, Cooper A. Role of nanocrystalline silver dressings in the management of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) and TEN/Stevens-Johnson syndrome overlap. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2015;56(4):298-302.
41. Yang JY, Huang CY, Chuang SS, Chen CC. A clinical experience of treating exfoliative wounds using nanocrystalline silver-containing dressings (Acticoat). *Burns*. 2007;33(6):793-797.
42. Heng JS, Malik N, Joshi N, et al. Severity of acute ocular involvement is independently associated with time to resolution of ocular disease in toxic epidermal necrolysis patients. *The British journal of ophthalmology*. 2015;99(2):251-254.
43. Revuz J, Penso D, Roujeau JC, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis. Clinical findings and prognosis factors in 87 patients. *Arch Dermatol*. 1987;123(9):1160-1165.
44. Gueudry J, Roujeau JC, Binaghi M, Soubrane G, Muraine M. Risk factors for the development of ocular complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Archives of dermatology*. 2009;145(2):157-162.
45. Power WJ, Ghoraishi M, Merayo-Llodes J, Neves RA, Foster CS. Analysis of the acute ophthalmic manifestations of the erythema multiforme/Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis disease spectrum. *Ophthalmology*. 1995;102(11):1669-1676.
46. Sotozono C, Ueta M, Koizumi N, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis with ocular complications. *Ophthalmology*. 2009;116(4):685-690.

47. Chang YS, Huang FC, Tseng SH, Hsu CK, Ho CL, Sheu HM. Erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: acute ocular manifestations, causes, and management. *Cornea*. 2007;26(2):123-129.
48. Morales ME, Purdue GF, Verity SM, Arnoldo BD, Blomquist PH. Ophthalmic Manifestations of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis and Relation to SCORTEN. *American journal of ophthalmology*. 2010;150(4):505-510.e501.
49. Lopez-Garcia JS, Rivas Jara L, Garcia-Lozano CI, Conesa E, de Juan IE, Murube del Castillo J. Ocular features and histopathologic changes during follow-up of toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(2):265-271.
50. Yip LW, Thong BY, Lim J, et al. Ocular manifestations and complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: an Asian series. *Allergy*. 2007;62(5):527-531.
51. Ciralsky JB, Sippel KC, Gregory DG. Current ophthalmologic treatment strategies for acute and chronic Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Current opinion in ophthalmology*. 2013;24(4):321-328.
52. Jain R, Sharma N, Basu S, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome: The role of an ophthalmologist. *Survey of ophthalmology*. 2016;61(4):369-399.
53. Gregory DG. Treatment of acute Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis using amniotic membrane: a review of 10 consecutive cases. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(5):908-914.
54. Agrawal A, Pratap VB. Amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) without the use of sutures/fibrin glue. *Nepalese journal of ophthalmology : a biannual peer-reviewed academic journal of the Nepal Ophthalmic Society : NEPJOPH*. 2015;7(14):173-177.
55. Hsu M, Jayaram A, Verner R, Lin A, Bouchard C. Indications and outcomes of amniotic membrane transplantation in the management of acute stevens-johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a case-control study. *Cornea*. 2012;31(12):1394-1402.
56. John T, Foulks GN, John ME, Cheng K, Hu D. Amniotic membrane in the surgical management of acute toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109(2):351-360.
57. Kim KH, Park SW, Kim MK, Wee WR. Effect of age and early intervention with a systemic steroid, intravenous immunoglobulin or amniotic membrane transplantation on the ocular outcomes of patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *Korean journal of ophthalmology : KJO*. 2013;27(5):331-340.
58. Ma KN, Thanos A, Chodosh J, Shah AS, Mantagos IS. A Novel Technique for Amniotic Membrane Transplantation in Patients with Acute Stevens-Johnson Syndrome. *The ocular surface*. 2016;14(1):31-36.
59. Shammas MC, Lai EC, Sarkar JS, Yang J, Starr CE, Sippel KC. Management of acute Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis utilizing amniotic membrane and topical corticosteroids. *American journal of ophthalmology*. 2010;149(2):203-213.e202.
60. Lin A, Patel N, Yoo D, DeMartelaere S, Bouchard C. Management of ocular conditions in the burn unit: thermal and chemical burns and Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2011;32(5):547-560.

61. Gilissen L, De Decker L, Hulshagen T, Goossens A. Allergic contact dermatitis caused by topical ophthalmic medications: Keep an eye on it! *Contact dermatitis*. 2019;80(5):291-297.
62. Saeed HN, Chodosh J. Ocular manifestations of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and their management. *Current opinion in ophthalmology*. 2016;27(6):522-529.
63. Reddy RB, Shekar PC, Chandra KL, Aravind R. Oral lesions associated with Nevirapine-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: A report of 10 cases. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial pathology : JOMFP*. 2013;17(3):431-435.
64. Roujeau JC, Phlippoteau C, Koso M, et al. Sjogren-like syndrome after drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Lancet (London, England)*. 1985;1(8429):609-611.
65. Saban J, Pais JR, Rodriguez JL, Boixeda D. Sjogren-like pluriglandular exocrine insufficiency after drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Postgraduate medical journal*. 1991;67(784):195-197.
66. Sedghizadeh PP, Kumar SK, Gorur A, Mastin C, Boros AL. Toxic epidermal necrolysis with a rare long-term oral complication requiring surgical intervention. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics*. 2008;105(4):e29-33.
67. Kuten-Shorrer M, Woo SB, Treister NS. Oral graft-versus-host disease. *Dental clinics of North America*. 2014;58(2):351-368.
68. Lalla RV, Bowen J, Barasch A, et al. MASCC/ISOO clinical practice guidelines for the management of mucositis secondary to cancer therapy. *Cancer*. 2014;120(10):1453-1461.
69. Shazib MA, Muhlbauer J, Schweiker R, Li S, Cutler C, Treister N. Long-Term Utilization Patterns Of Topical Therapy And Clinical Outcomes Of Oral Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease. *Biology of blood and marrow transplantation : journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation*. 2019.
70. Sobocinski V, Dridi SM, Bisson C, et al. [Oral care recommendations for patients with oral autoimmune bullous diseases]. *Annales de dermatologie et de venerologie*. 2017;144(3):182-190.
71. Zadik Y, Elad S, Shapira A, Shapira MY. Treatment of oral mucosal manifestations of chronic graft-versus-host disease: dexamethasone vs. budesonide. *Expert opinion on pharmacotherapy*. 2017;18(3):235-242.
72. Foote RL, Loprinzi CL, Frank AR, et al. Randomized trial of a chlorhexidine mouthwash for alleviation of radiation-induced mucositis. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 1994;12(12):2630-2633.
73. Lozada-Nur F, Huang MZ, Zhou GA. Open preliminary clinical trial of clobetasol propionate ointment in adhesive paste for treatment of chronic oral vesiculoerosive diseases. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, and oral pathology*. 1991;71(3):283-287.
74. Rugo HS, Seneviratne L, Beck JT, et al. Prevention of everolimus-related stomatitis in women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer using dexamethasone mouthwash (SWISH): a single-arm, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2017;18(5):654-662.

75. Sibaud V, Eid C, Belum VR, et al. Oral lichenoid reactions associated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies: clinicopathological findings. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2017;31(10):e464-e469.
76. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Sarri T, Bowen J, et al. Systematic review of anti-inflammatory agents for the management of oral mucositis in cancer patients. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2013;21(11):3179-3189.
77. Hong CHL, Gueiros LA, Fulton JS, et al. Systematic review of basic oral care for the management of oral mucositis in cancer patients and clinical practice guidelines. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2019;27(10):3949-3967.
78. Meneux E, Paniel BJ, Pouget F, Revuz J, Roujeau JC, Wolkenstein P. Vulvovaginal sequelae in toxic epidermal necrolysis. *The Journal of reproductive medicine*. 1997;42(3):153-156.
79. Meneux E, Wolkenstein P, Haddad B, Roujeau JC, Revuz J, Paniel BJ. Vulvovaginal involvement in toxic epidermal necrolysis: a retrospective study of 40 cases. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1998;91(2):283-287.
80. Van Batavia JP, Chu DI, Long CJ, Jen M, Canning DA, Weiss DA. Genitourinary involvement and management in children with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Journal of pediatric urology*. 2017;13(5):490.e491-490.e497.
81. Baccaro LM, Sakharpe A, Miller A, Amani H. The first reported case of ureteral perforation in a patient with severe toxic epidermal necrolysis syndrome. *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(4):e265-268.
82. Boyraz G, Basaran D, Salman MC, Ozgul N, Yuce K. Vaginal Reconstruction for Vaginal Obliteration Secondary to Stevens Johnson Syndrome: A Case Report and Review of Literature. *Oman medical journal*. 2017;32(5):436-439.
83. Emberger M, Lanschuetzer CM, Laimer M, Hawranek T, Staudach A, Hintner H. Vaginal adenosis induced by Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2006;20(7):896-898.
84. Hart R, Minto C, Creighton S. Vaginal adhesions caused by Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology*. 2002;15(3):151-152.
85. Kaser DJ, Reichman DE, Laufer MR. Prevention of vulvovaginal sequelae in stevens-johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Reviews in obstetrics & gynecology*. 2011;4(2):81-85.
86. Lee HY, Walsh SA, Creamer D. Long-term complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (SJS/TEN): the spectrum of chronic problems in patients who survive an episode of SJS/TEN necessitates multidisciplinary follow-up. *Br J Dermatol*. 2017;177(4):924-935.
87. Noel JC, Buxant F, Fayt I, Bebuschere G, Parent D. Vulval adenosis associated with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2005;153(2):457-458.
88. Saeed H, Mantagos IS, Chodosh J. Complications of Stevens-Johnson syndrome beyond the eye and skin. *Burns*. 2016;42(1):20-27.
89. Wilson EE, Malinak LR. Vulvovaginal sequelae of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and their management. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 1988;71(3 Pt 2):478-480.

90. Campbell L, Emmerson E, Davies F, et al. Estrogen promotes cutaneous wound healing via estrogen receptor beta independent of its antiinflammatory activities. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2010;207(9):1825-1833.
91. Buchan A, Merideth MA, Childs RW, Stratton P. Novel management of vaginal chronic graft-versus-host disease causing haematometra and haematocolpos. *BMJ case reports*. 2018;2018.
92. Ching JA, Kuykendall LV, Troy JS, Smith DJ, Jr. Estrogen treatment of acetic acid burns to the vagina, cervix, and perineum: a case report and review of the literature. *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(5):e368-371.
93. Ashcroft GS, Greenwell-Wild T, Horan MA, Wahl SM, Ferguson MW. Topical estrogen accelerates cutaneous wound healing in aged humans associated with an altered inflammatory response. *The American journal of pathology*. 1999;155(4):1137-1146.
94. Emmerson E, Rando G, Meda C, Campbell L, Maggi A, Hardman MJ. Estrogen receptor-mediated signalling in female mice is locally activated in response to wounding. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2013;375(1-2):149-156.
95. Weinkle A, Pettit C, Jani A, et al. Distinguishing Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis from clinical mimickers during inpatient dermatologic consultation-A retrospective chart review. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(3):749-757.
96. Wallenborn J, Fischer M. Intensive Care in a Patient with Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *Case reports in critical care*. 2017;2017:3246196.
97. Boorboor P, Vogt PM, Bechara FG, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: use of Biobrane or skin coverage reduces pain, improves mobilisation and decreases infection in elderly patients. *Burns*. 2008;34(4):487-492.
98. Yang C, Xu XM, He GZ. Efficacy and feasibility of opioids for burn analgesia: An evidence-based qualitative review of randomized controlled trials. *Burns*. 2018;44(2):241-248.
99. Jennes S, Pierard GE, Paquet P. Deciphering supportive treatment strategies for toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Curr Drug Saf*. 2012;7(5):361-366.
100. Kator S, Correll DJ, Ou JY, Levinson R, Noronha GN, Adams CD. Assessment of low-dose i.v. ketamine infusions for adjunctive analgesia. *American journal of health-system pharmacy : AJHP : official journal of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists*. 2016;73(5 Suppl 1):S22-29.
101. Gray P, Kirby J, Smith MT, et al. Pregabalin in severe burn injury pain: a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Pain*. 2011;152(6):1279-1288.
102. Jones LM, Uribe AA, Coffey R, et al. Pregabalin in the reduction of pain and opioid consumption after burn injuries: A preliminary, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Medicine*. 2019;98(18):e15343.
103. Kaul I, Amin A, Rosenberg M, Rosenberg L, Meyer WJ, 3rd. Use of gabapentin and pregabalin for pruritus and neuropathic pain associated with major burn injury: A retrospective chart review. *Burns*. 2018;44(2):414-422.
104. Wibbenmeyer L, Eid A, Liao J, et al. Gabapentin is ineffective as an analgesic adjunct in the immediate postburn period. *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(2):136-142.
105. Lipovy B, Holoubek J, Hanslianova M, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis data from the CELESTE multinational registry. Part I: Epidemiology and general microbiological characteristics. *Burns*. 2018;44(6):1551-1560.

106. de Prost N, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Duong T, et al. Bacteremia in Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: epidemiology, risk factors, and predictive value of skin cultures. *Medicine*. 2010;89(1):28-36.
107. Kim HI, Kim SW, Park GY, et al. Causes and treatment outcomes of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in 82 adult patients. *The Korean journal of internal medicine*. 2012;27(2):203-210.
108. Rajaratnam R, Mann C, Balasubramaniam P, et al. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: retrospective analysis of 21 consecutive cases managed at a tertiary centre. *Clinical and experimental dermatology*. 2010;35(8):853-862.
109. Yamada H, Takamori K. Status of plasmapheresis for the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis in Japan. *Therapeutic apheresis and dialysis : official peer-reviewed journal of the International Society for Apheresis, the Japanese Society for Apheresis, the Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy*. 2008;12(5):355-359.
110. Koh HK, Chai ZT, Tay HW, et al. Risk factors and diagnostic markers of bacteremia in Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: A cohort study of 176 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;81(3):686-693.
111. Bequignon E, Duong TA, Sbidian E, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: ear, nose, and throat description at acute stage and after remission. *JAMA dermatology*. 2015;151(3):302-307.
112. Cartotto R. Burn Center Care of Patients with Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *Clinics in plastic surgery*. 2017;44(3):583-595.
113. Schulz JT, Sheridan RL, Ryan CM, MacKool B, Tompkins RG. A 10-year experience with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2000;21(3):199-204.
114. Struck MF, Hilbert P, Mockenhaupt M, Reichelt B, Steen M. Severe cutaneous adverse reactions: emergency approach to non-burn epidermolytic syndromes. *Intensive care medicine*. 2010;36(1):22-32.
115. Mahar PD, Wasiak J, Cleland H, et al. Secondary bacterial infection and empirical antibiotic use in toxic epidermal necrolysis patients. *J Burn Care Res*. 2014;35(6):518-524.
116. Ang CC, Tay YK. Hematological abnormalities and the use of granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor in patients with Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *International journal of dermatology*. 2011;50(12):1570-1578.
117. Oh SJ, Kwon HI, Moon SH, Ro YS, Ko JY. Toxic epidermal necrolysis with isolated neutropenia related to the use of levetiracetam. *The Journal of dermatology*. 2016;43(8):969-971.
118. Mahajan R, Kanwar AJ. Use of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis--experience with 3 patients. *Skinmed*. 2013;11(5):269-271.
119. de Sica-Chapman A, Williams G, Soni N, Bunker CB. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) and Chelsea & Westminster TEN management protocol [corrected]. *Br J Dermatol*. 2010;162(4):860-865.
120. Namdar T, von Wild T, Siemers F, et al. Does hypernatremia impact mortality in Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis? *German medical science : GMS e-journal*. 2010;8:Doc30.
121. Shiga S, Cartotto R. What are the fluid requirements in toxic epidermal necrolysis? *J Burn Care Res*. 2010;31(1):100-104.

122. Lissia M, Mulas P, Bulla A, Rubino C. Toxic epidermal necrolysis (Lyell's disease). *Burns*. 2010;36(2):152-163.
123. Fernando SL. The management of toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2012;53(3):165-171.
124. Gandhi M, Kowal-Vern A, An G, Hanumadass M. Blister fluid composition in a pediatric patient with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2008;29(4):671-675.
125. Struck MF, Illert T, Liss Y, Bosbach ID, Reichelt B, Steen M. Toxic epidermal necrolysis in pregnancy: case report and review of the literature. *J Burn Care Res*. 2010;31(5):816-821.
126. Schneider JA, Cohen PR. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A Concise Review with a Comprehensive Summary of Therapeutic Interventions Emphasizing Supportive Measures. *Adv Ther*. 2017;34(6):1235-1244.
127. Mockenhaupt M. The current understanding of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Expert review of clinical immunology*. 2011;7(6):803-813; quiz 814-805.
128. Schwartz RA, McDonough PH, Lee BW. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: Part II. Prognosis, sequelae, diagnosis, differential diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2013;69(2):187.e181-116; quiz 203-184.
129. Schneider JA, Cohen PR. Prognosis and management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;77(4):e117.
130. Valeyrie-Allanore L, Ingen-Housz-Oro S, Chosidow O, Wolkenstein P. French referral center management of Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Dermatologica Sinica*. 2013;31:191-195.
131. Chave TA, Mortimer NJ, Sladden MJ, Hall AP, Hutchinson PE. Toxic epidermal necrolysis: current evidence, practical management and future directions. *Br J Dermatol*. 2005;153(2):241-253.
132. Gravante G, Esposito G, Piazzolla M, Marianetti M, Delogu D, Montone A. Nutrition of toxic epidermal necrolysis. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2006;19(2):152-153; author reply 153-155.
133. Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia M, et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition - A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clin Nutr*. 2019;38(1):1-9.
134. Graves C, Faraklas I, Maniatis K, et al. Nutrition in Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis: A Multicenter Review. *Nutrition in clinical practice : official publication of the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. 2016;31(6):836-840.
135. Palmieri TL, Greenhalgh DG, Saffle JR, et al. A multicenter review of toxic epidermal necrolysis treated in U.S. burn centers at the end of the twentieth century. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2002;23(2):87-96.
136. Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, et al. Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2009;360(13):1283-1297.
137. Stoecklin P, Delodder F, Pantet O, Berger MM. Moderate glycemic control safe in critically ill adult burn patients: A 15 year cohort study. *Burns*. 2016;42(1):63-70.
138. Yamada T, Shojima N, Noma H, Yamauchi T, Kadowaki T. Glycemic control, mortality, and hypoglycemia in critically ill patients: a systematic review and

- network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Intensive care medicine*. 2017;43(1):1-15.
139. Yatabe T, Inoue S, Sakaguchi M, Egi M. The optimal target for acute glycemic control in critically ill patients: a network meta-analysis. *Intensive care medicine*. 2017;43(1):16-28.
 140. Huang HB, Jiang W, Wang CY, Qin HY, Du B. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in intensive care unit patients receiving enteral nutrition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care*. 2018;22(1):20.
 141. Hurt RT, Frazier TH, McClave SA, et al. Stress prophylaxis in intensive care unit patients and the role of enteral nutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2012;36(6):721-731.
 142. MacLaren R, Jarvis CL, Fish DN. Use of enteral nutrition for stress ulcer prophylaxis. *The Annals of pharmacotherapy*. 2001;35(12):1614-1623.
 143. Beck A, Cooney R, Gamelli RL, Mosier MJ. Predicting Mechanical Ventilation and Mortality: Early and Late Indicators in Steven-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *J Burn Care Res*. 2016;37(1):e47-55.
 144. de Prost N, Mekontso-Dessap A, Valeyrie-Allanore L, et al. Acute respiratory failure in patients with toxic epidermal necrolysis: clinical features and factors associated with mechanical ventilation. *Critical care medicine*. 2014;42(1):118-128.
 145. Lebargy F, Wolkenstein P, Gisselbrecht M, et al. Pulmonary complications in toxic epidermal necrolysis: a prospective clinical study. *Intensive care medicine*. 1997;23(12):1237-1244.
 146. Lipovy B, Baran M. A draft of bronchoscopic grading system in patients with toxic epidermal necrolysis. *Burns*. 2017;43(4):890-892.
 147. Kamada N, Kinoshita K, Togawa Y, et al. Chronic pulmonary complications associated with toxic epidermal necrolysis: report of a severe case with anti-Ro/SS-A and a review of the published work. *The Journal of dermatology*. 2006;33(9):616-622.
 148. Williams R, Hodge J, Ingram W. Indications for intubation and early tracheostomy in patients with Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *American journal of surgery*. 2016;211(4):684-688.e681.
 149. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2000;342(18):1301-1308.
 150. Guerin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2013;368(23):2159-2168.
 151. Alhazzani W, Lim W, Jaeschke RZ, Murad MH, Cade J, Cook DJ. Heparin thromboprophylaxis in medical-surgical critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Critical care medicine*. 2013;41(9):2088-2098.
 152. Decousus H, Tapson VF, Bergmann JF, et al. Factors at admission associated with bleeding risk in medical patients: findings from the IMPROVE investigators. *Chest*. 2011;139(1):69-79.
 153. Minet C, Potton L, Bonadona A, et al. Venous thromboembolism in the ICU: main characteristics, diagnosis and thromboprophylaxis. *Crit Care*. 2015;19:287.

- 750 154. Hostler DC, Marx ES, Moores LK, et al. Validation of the International Medical
751 Prevention Registry on Venous Thromboembolism Bleeding Risk Score. *Chest*.
752 2016;149(2):372-379.
- 753 155. Greinacher A. CLINICAL PRACTICE. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. *The New*
754 *England journal of medicine*. 2015;373(3):252-261.
755

Table 1. Clinical Question

What supportive care treatment strategies are safe and effective for adult patients with SJS, SJS-TEN overlap, or TEN?

1.	Hospital setting and care team
2.	Wound care
3.	Ocular care
4.	Oral care
5.	Urogenital care
6.	Pain management
7.	Infection surveillance
8.	Fluid and electrolyte management
9.	Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis
10.	Airway management
11.	Anticoagulation

SJS, Steven-Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis

Table 2. Recommendations

	Level of evidence*	Strength of Recommendation*	DI**	Median
<i>Hospital setting and care team</i>				
Management of patients with SJS/TEN requires a multidisciplinary team that may include dermatology, intensive care, pulmonology, ophthalmology, otorhinolaryngology, gynecology, urology, nephrology, plastic surgery, nutrition, nursing, psychology/psychiatry, and other fields.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Dermatologists are experts in the disease state of SJS/TEN and should directly participate in the management of such patients.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Staff should have specific training in the care of patients with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
Chronic conditions and comorbidities play a significant role in the mortality of SJS/TEN patients and the need for specialized care, and hospital transfers should take into account these comorbidities.	3	C	0.00	9.0
Medical or burn ICU settings of care for SJS/TEN patients are recommended.	2-/3	D	0.00	9.0
SJS/TEN patients must be cared for in a private room.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Patient rooms should be controlled for humidity.	4	D	0.26	7.5
Sterile sheets should be obtained and used for patient bedding, where available	4	D	0.65	8.0
At least one nurse should take care of one SJS/TEN patient (at least 1:1 ratio).	4	D	0.32	8.0
<i>Wound care</i>				
Determine % BSA of epidermal detachment (only skin that is already necrotic, detached, or skin with positive Nikolsky sign).	3	D	0.06	9.0
Avoid unnecessary wound manipulation by limiting the number of dressing changes.	3	D	0.13	8.0
Use an air-fluidized bed to minimize friction.	3	D	0.15	8.0
Gently cleanse all areas with sterile water, normal saline, or dilute chlorhexidine (0.05%) solution with dressing changes.	4	D	0.26	8.0
The detached and detachable epidermis should be left in place as a biological dressing.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Lyse large or painful bullae for comfort only.	4	D (GPP)	0.20	8.0
Wound debridement of necrotic skin is not recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.82	7.0
Apply topical emollients such as petroleum jelly on the entire epidermis.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Apply non-adherent sterile dressings to denuded skin.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Select non-adherent silver-impregnated primary dressings for optimal moisture retention and antibacterial properties.	2+/3	D	0.59	6.5
Apply secondary dressing to absorb exudate.	3	D	0.37	7.0
<i>Ocular care</i>				
Patients thought to have SJS/TEN should be examined by an ophthalmologist as part of the initial assessment.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Patients should be examined at least every 24 hours until it is clear there is no worsening, and thereafter the frequency of follow-up should be determined on a case-by case basis.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
Educate the appropriate staff regarding the need for immediate ophthalmologic evaluation of all SJS/TEN	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0

patients and the proper application of topical ocular medications (drops and ointments).				
The entire ocular surface should be examined daily- eyelid skin, eyelid margin, conjunctiva, and cornea. The eyelids should be everted, and the eyes rotated to look for forniceal and tarsal conjunctival epithelial defects and early symblephara.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Fluorescein staining should be done in all patients.	4	D	0.65	6.5
Resting eyelid position should be assessed for lagophthalmos.	4	D	0.37	8.0
Grade the ocular exam findings to facilitate medical decision making (eAppendix5).	3	D	0.65	7.0
Consider amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT) during the initial evaluation of any patient thought to have SJS/TEN and at each follow-up exam during the acute phase.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
Offer AMT to patients with moderate to severe conjunctival injection, significant conjunctival epithelial defects (especially the eyelid margin, tarsal conjunctiva, fornices), significant corneal epithelial defects or membranes / pseudo-membranes.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
AMT is ideally performed within 5 days of onset but may be offered later.	1+/2+	B	0.13	8.0
Amniotic membrane should cover the entire ocular surface.	1+/2+	B	0.00	8.0
Apply artificial tears every 1-2 hours for any patient with any ocular surface inflammation.	4	D	0.13	8.0
Apply ophthalmic ointment to the eyelid margin every 2-24 hours.	4	D	0.13	8.0
Eye drops containing preservatives should be avoided.	4	D	0.48	8.0
Apply a moisture chamber over the eyes for lagophthalmos. A facemask or moist occlusive dressing may be used for this purpose.	4	D	0.56	8.0
Rinse the eyes every 2-24 hours with sterile saline.	4	D	0.16	7.5
Remove/lyse adherent debris and membranes daily.	4	D	0.16	8.0
Apply a topical anesthetic (e.g. proparacaine or tetracaine) if needed.	4	D	0.12	8.0
Apply a corticosteroid containing ointment to the eyelid margin and eyelashes at least once daily and a corticosteroid drop to the ocular surface at least twice daily for any patient with any ocular surface inflammation.	2-	D	0.59	8.0
If there is clinical suspicion of infectious conjunctivitis, obtain a bacterial (and consider a fungal) culture of the ocular surface and begin application of a topical broad-spectrum antibiotic (4th generation quinolone commonly used).	4	D	0.00	8.0
Avoid chloramphenicol drops and tetracycline containing ointment, as these have been associated with late complications, particularly dry eyes.	3	D	0.65	8.0
Oral Care				
The mouth should be examined as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Daily oral exam is required during acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Have a low threshold for HSV PCR, bacterial, and fungal cultures if infection is suspected.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Petrolatum ointment should be applied on the lips immediately, and then every 2 hours throughout the acute illness.	3	D	0.29	8.0
Viscous lidocaine 2%, 15 ml per application, can be used every three hours (and prior to cleanses) as an oral rinse to control pain.	3	D	0.13	8.0
Clean the mouth daily with warm saline mouthwashes or an oral sponge, sweeping the sponge gently in the labial and buccal sulci to reduce the risk of fibrotic scars and prevent buildup of hemorrhagic crust.	3	D	0.13	8.0

An antiseptic oral rinse should be used twice daily to reduce bacterial colonization of the mucosa.	3	D	0.65	6.5
A topical steroid (ultrapotent) ointment can be applied up to 4 times a day during the acute phase.	3	D	0.58	8.0
Consider diluted chlorhexidine digluconate mouthwash (2-3 times daily).	3	D	0.37	7.0
Consider the use of oral coating agents for pain reduction in patients with oral mucosal involvement.	4	D	0.13	8.0
<i>Urogenital care</i>				
Examine the urogenital tract as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Urogenital exam should ideally be performed by a gynecologist, urologist, or urogynecology specialist.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
Daily exam is required during the acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
If there is clinical suspicion for vaginal candidiasis in the setting of vaginal steroid use, consider obtaining a KOH and fungal culture and beginning treatment with antifungal medications.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	8.0
During the acute phase of the disease, the vulvar/urogenital skin/mucosa should be coated with an ointment and/or ointment gauze to help reduce pain, reduce adhesion formation, and facilitate healing.	3	D	0.13	8.0
An intravaginal device such as a dilator/tampon/vaginal mold/roll of gauze covered in a lubricated condom can be utilized to treat vaginal disease.	3	D	0.13	9.0
Intravaginal devices may be left in place for no longer than 24hrs, at which time they should be replaced.	3	D	0.03	8.0
Even for virginal patients, use of a small mold or a condom-covered tampon should be encouraged if the patient is emotionally and physically comfortable with the regimen.	4	D	0.65	7.0
Patients uncomfortable with using an intravaginal device, can apply medication twice daily with a vaginal applicator.	4	D	0.06	8.0
Topical anesthetics (i.e., lidocaine 5% ointment) can be used at the vaginal introitus, once open sores have healed, to reduce discomfort with use of the vaginal dilators.	3	D	0.01	8.0
It is at the provider's discretion to use either a non-steroidal ointment (i.e., petrolatum jelly) with reapplication as frequently as necessary (2-4x daily) to maintain barrier protection and/or consider 1-2x daily application of a high potency steroid ointment if active inflammation is observed, with the caveat that consideration for tapering of steroid use should be based on clinical improvement.	3	D	0.00	8.0
Consider the medication on the dilator can be changed to, or alternated with, estrogen cream to help promote healing of the vaginal mucosa.	4	D	0.55	7.0
Consider menstrual suppression to reduce discomfort and possibly to decrease the risk of vaginal adenosis.	3	D	0.69	8.0
Consider division of any fine [vaginal] adhesions to prevent the development of thick fibrous bands that could lead to problems inserting tampons and during sexual intercourse later in life.	3	D	0.22	8.0
Consider urinary catheters to decrease pain with urination, prevent urinary obstruction, and monitor fluid balance.	3	D	0.13	8.0
<i>Pain management</i>				
Evaluation and treatment of pain is a priority in the acute phase, especially during wound management.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9.0
Pain should be evaluated on a 4-hourly basis.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
A validated pain tool should be used to assess pain in all conscious patients at least once a day.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9.0
If the score is mild, pain control with acetaminophen should be introduced.	3	D	0.00	8.0
If acetaminophen is not enough, oral synthetic opiates such as tramadol should be considered.	3	D	0.23	8.0
If the pain score is moderate to severe, then morphine or fentanyl should be delivered enterally, by PCA, or by infusion.	3	D	0.13	8.0

Procedures such as dressing changes and bathing may require additional pain control.	3	D	0.00	9.0
Consider adding low dose ketamine infusions.	3	D	0.65	6.5
Consider adding gabapentin or pregabalin.	3	D	0.65	7.0
NSAIDs should be avoided due to renal or gastric injury.	3	D	0.35	7.0
<i>Infection surveillance</i>				
Hand hygiene and other infection control measures should be strictly applied.	3	D (GPP)	0.00	9
Patients should be monitored carefully for signs of systemic infection, such as confusion, hypotension, reduced urine output and reduced oxygen saturation.	3	D	0.00	9
Cutaneous infection may be accompanied by increase in skin pain.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Consider activation of HSV in eroded or vesicular areas which are slow to heal, particularly in genital and oral sites. Take viral swabs if herpes virus infection is suspected.	3	D	0.00	9
In patients with diarrhea who are immobile, consider a fecal management system to prevent fecal soiling of wounds.	3	D	0.13	8.5
Prophylactic antibiotic treatment is not recommended.	4	D	0.13	8.5
Administer systemic antibiotics only if there are clinical signs of infection. The choice of systemic antibiotic should be guided by local microbiological resistance patterns.	3	D	0.13	9
Severe ENT involvement is significantly associated with pulmonary infection. ENT evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be suggested when dysphonia or dyspnea are present.	3	D	0.16	8.0
<i>Fluid and electrolyte management</i>				
Peripheral catheters preferred for vascular access with implantation in uninjured skin and fixed with non-adhesive dressings.	3	D	0.13	9
Change peripheral venous cannulas every 48 hours if possible.	3	D	0.65	7
Monitor electrolytes and fluid balance daily.	4	D (GPP)	0.00	9
Consider invasive fluid balance monitoring with Foley catheter.	3	D	0.33	8
Fluid administration should be titrated to urine output (0.5-1 ml/kg/hr).	3	D	0.16	8
<i>Nutrition and stress ulcer prophylaxis</i>				
Maintain adequate nutrition orally; utilize nasogastric tube if necessary. Enteral feeding reduces stress ulcers and reduces bacterial translocation and enterogenic infection.	3	D	0.13	9
Supplement enteral nutrition with parenteral if intake via the enteral route is insufficient to meet caloric needs.	3	D	0.39	8
Avoid nasogastric tube placement if there is involvement of the nasopharyngeal mucosa.	3	D	0.37	7
Deliver daily caloric requirement of 30-35 kcal/kg.	3	D	0.33	8
Maintain close glycemic control.	3	D (GPP)	0.03	8
In patients receiving enteral nutrition, pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis is not recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.65	8
Pharmacologic stress ulcer prophylaxis with PPIs should be limited to patients at high risk for clinically important bleeding (respiratory failure, coagulopathy, liver disease, use of renal replacement therapy, three or more co-existing diseases).	4	D (GPP)	0.16	8
PPIs should be used over H2 receptor antagonists (due to decrease in GI bleeding events).	4	D (GPP)	0.5	7.5
<i>Airway management</i>				
The nose should be examined as part of the initial assessment of a patient with SJS/TEN.	4	D (GPP)	0.13	9

Daily nasal exams are required during acute illness.	4	D (GPP)	0.07	8
Pulmonary care includes normal saline aerosols, bronchial aspiration and postural drainage by turning the patient to different sides.	4	D	0.11	8
Severe ENT involvement is significantly associated with pulmonary infection. ENT evaluation using nasal fiberoptic endoscopy should be suggested when dysphonia or dyspnea are present.	3/4	D	0.16	8
Chest X-ray and arterial blood gases should be obtained upon admission for baseline respiratory function assessment.	3/4	D	0.65	7
Patients with ongoing respiratory symptoms should be closely monitored with pulmonary function testing and high-resolution computed tomography (CT) scanning.	3	D	0.37	8
Fiberoptic bronchoscopy should be undertaken in patients with respiratory symptoms and hypoxia.	3	D	0.00	8
Bronchoscopy should be done by an experienced technician due to risk of post-instrumental endobronchial bleeding.	3	D	0.13	8
Consider intubation and early tracheostomy in patients with oral involvement AND one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial BSA 70% or more • Progression of BSA involved from DOH1 to DOH3 > 15% • Underlying neurologic diagnosis prevents airway protection • Documented airway involvement on direct laryngoscopy 	3	D	0.40	7
Ventilation strategies should mimic ARDS management guidelines (low tidal volume and early prone positioning).	4	D	0.65	7
Anticoagulation				
Immobile patients should receive low molecular weight heparin.	4	D (GPP)	0.07	8
For acutely ill patients at increased risk of thrombosis who are bleeding or at high risk for major bleeding, mechanical thromboprophylaxis with graduated compression stockings or intermittent pneumatic compression is recommended.	4	D (GPP)	0.16	8

*For level of evidence and grade of recommendation calculation see eAppendix2. GPP, good practice point. A GPP is a recommendation for best practice based on the experience of the guideline development group.

**Statements were appraised on a Likert scale of 1 (strongly disagree) to 9 (strongly agree), medians and disagreement indexes (DI) were calculated for each statement. Items with a $DI \leq 1$ and a median ≥ 6.5 were deemed appropriate and included in the guidelines, and all other items were not included as recommendations. (eAppendix4)

SJS, Steven-Johnson syndrome; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis; BSA, Body Surface Area; DI, disagreement index; DOH, Day of hospitalization; ENT, Ear-Nose-Throat; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PCA, patient-controlled analgesia; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.